



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/857,701	08/20/2001	Yeong-Chang Yoo	11023-1	1047

7590 08/29/2003

National Research Council of Canada
Intellectual Property Services Office
EG 12 Bldg M 58
Montreal Road
Ottawa, K1A 0R6
CANADA

AIR MAIL

S
EXAMINER

BELL, BRUCE F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1746

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/857,701	YOO ET AL.	
	Examiner Bruce F. Bell	Art Unit 1746	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-21 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 6-12 and 22 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-5, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dahn et al (5858324).

Dahn et al disclose a lithium based compound useful for electrodes in a lithium ion battery. The compound is of the formula $\text{Li}_y\text{Cr}_x\text{Mn}_{2-x}\text{O}_{4+z}$ wherein $y \geq 2$, $0.25 < x < 2$ and $z \geq 0$. See abstract. The patent shows that the lithium ion battery has two electrodes and an electrolyte and that a battery made with the above composition as the cathode has the ability to be recycled repeatedly. See col. 2, lines 11-38. Table 1 of the prior art batteries show that the volume of the cell (A^3) is less than the applicants' stated range in the instant claims.

The prior art of Dahn et al anticipates the applicants instant invention as set forth above since the instantly claimed compound is encompassed by that of the prior art compound as set forth above, where the ranges of the prior art compound are shown to meet that applicants' instant invention compound formula as set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahns et al (5858324) in combination with Davidson et al (5370949).

Dahns et al is as disclosed above in the 35 USC 102 rejection.

Dahns does not teach the specifics to the Lithium ion battery cell.

Davidson et al show Lithium ion battery cells of a similar formula to that of the Dahns et al patent which incorporated anodes, lithium salts, organic solvents, electrolytes and separators having the same materials as that used in the applicants' instant invention. See col. 2, lines 27-57; col. 3, lines 1-22; col. 4, lines 27-68 and the claims.

The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made because even though the prior art of Dahns et al did not site the particular materials for the electrolyte, organic solvents, lithium salt, etc., the prior art of Davidson et al shows that these materials are conventional in the art for use in Lithium ion batteries. Therefore, the prior art of Dahns et al in combination with Davidson et al render the applicants' instant invention as obvious for the reasons set forth above.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-12 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
7. Claims 6-12 and 22 are allowable over the prior art of record.
8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach and/or suggest the specific compound formulations as set forth by the applicants'. One having ordinary skill in the art would not be able to ascertain each compound composition as set forth in the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 703-308-2527. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 703-308-4333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

BFB
August 23, 2003

Bruce Bell
Bruce F. Bell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1746